

#11 / Reconsider  
Tushy  
6-29-00

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 6/1/2000

Judy Paradski  
(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee)  
Judy Paradski  
(Signature of Person Mailing Paper or Fee)

**OFFICIAL**

**PATENT**  
Attorney Docket 20676-712

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of	)	
	)	
Oliver P. Leisten	)	Group Art Unit: 2821
	)	
Serial No.: 09/204,863	)	Examiner: T. Ho
	)	
Filed: December 3, 1998	)	
	)	
Title: An Antenna	)	

**REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

FAX COPY RECEIVED  
JUN 1 2000  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 3, 2000.

**REMARKS**

Claims 38-92 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-43 of U.S. Patent No. 5,854,608. Attached is a Terminal Disclaimer to be used to overcome the rejection based on the nonstatutory double patenting ground. This Terminal Disclaimer fully complies with the Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) also attached.

1189496.1